
9/11, do you believe the official explanation?

Posted by Bigman - 2008/03/05 23:57

In plane sight

IMHO, the whole thing is suspect, the most secure building in the world and 5 frames of a dodgy security camera to record an airliner going in? (and not seeing an airliner going in)

Suspect flashes as the aircraft hit the twin towers?

Pods attached to belly of the 757 that hit the second tower?

Eyewitnesses saying the planes did not have windows and did not look like commercial airliners?

Eyewitnesses (Fifefighters, police, reporters, people at the scene) hearing 'bombs' going off as the buildings collapsed?

Building 7 'collapsing' into a neat heap 8 hours later and the owner of the world trade centre complex telling reporters that building 7 had to be 'pulled' (demolished) 8 hours later???

A 757 'disappearing' into a hole in the ground in Pennsylvania? (Flight 93)

Flight 93 turning up an hour an half later at an airport in Cleaveland?

If a guy in a cave in Afghanistan can do that with a few guys with box cutters (who incidently most have been seen post 9/11 living happily in Saudi Arabia)) to the most defended, heavily protected country on the planet what chance have we got?

Smells like sh*te to me!

Just a thought!

=====

Re:9/11, do you believe the official explanation?

Posted by scunnert - 2008/03/06 02:57

Aye Bigman - I've been following this on Prison Planet - very worrying. Same questions have been raised re: UK subway bombings. It's interesting that the major result for ordinary people from this is the loss of civil liberties.

Protest and Survive.

=====

Re:9/11, do you believe the official explanation?

Posted by davidn87 - 2008/03/06 12:13

Am I the only one that never heard of "Building 7" until recently?

=====

Re:9/11, do you believe the official explanation?

Posted by Bigman - 2008/03/06 14:37

Thats the one that 'fell doon' about 8 hours later into a very neat heap.

=====